

## Honolua Bridge Rehabilitation Meeting Minutes May 7, 2019, 9:30 AM at HDOT Kapolei

The purpose of this meeting was to discuss the National Historic Preservation Act Section 106 Effect Determination letter by FHWA dated November 8, 2018 and SHPD's subsequent response letter dated December 19, 2018 and to provide clarification on SHPO's response of non-concurrence with FHWA's determination of "No Adverse Effect."

Attendees: Kevin Ito – State Department of Transportation (HDOT)

Karen Chun – State Department of Transportation (HDOT) Susan Lebo – State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD) Stephanie Hacker – State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD)

Barbara Shideler - Mason Architects, Inc. (MA)

Tessa Ng - Munekiyo Hiraga (MH)

Michael Dega – Scientific Consultant Services, Inc. (SCS) Paul Arita – Austin, Tsutsumi & Associates, Inc. (ATA) Nikki Luong – Austin, Tsutsumi & Associates, Inc. (ATA) Kyle Shinyama – Austin, Tsutsumi & Associates, Inc. (ATA)

Meesa Otani - Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) via Teleconference

## General Overview:

- SHPD requested for previous correspondences to be summarized in the Section 106 letter to SHPD. Under the Chapter 6E-8 (HRS) review, the archaeological sites were documented and resulted in no further work. However, wording in Section 106 Effect Determination refers to the archaeological sites as being affected. Clarification should be made as to whether the archaeological sites are affected or not.
- 2. HDOT/ATA clarified that the intent of the design was to avoid and lessen the impact to the existing adjacent archaeological sites.
- 3. SCS recommended archaeological monitoring in the Archaeological Inventory Survey (AIS) document. SHPD concurred in their response letter, with the recommendation that an Archaeological Monitoring Plan was necessary for review and acceptance prior to any work being conducted in the APE since subsurface testing was not performed.
- SHPD concurred with the determination of "No Adverse Effect" for the proposed work for the bridge and is only requesting for clarifications on the archaeological sites adjacent to the bridge.

## Action Items:

- HDOT/SCS/MH/ATA/FHWA to provide a Section 106 Effect Determination response letter
  to include the clarifications that SHPD requested to be submitted to SHPD Intake. The
  letter will also describe preventative measures by the contractor (such as a 20-foot buffer
  area, construction fence, and/or water-filled barriers) as indicated on the construction
  documents to avoid disturbance and mitigate effects of the construction activity.
- HDOT/SCS/MH/ATA to provide AMP for identification (instead of mitigation) purposes for submittal to SHPD Intake.