STATE OF HAWAII DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION **HIGHWAYS DIVISION**

ADDENDUM NO. 4

FOR

KUHIO HIGHWAY INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS

KAUAI CORRECTIONAL COMMUNITY CENTER AND WAILUA GOLF COURSE

PROJECT NO. 56A-01-10

DISTRICT OF LIHUE

ISLAND OF KAUAI

2012

Amend the RFP Documents as follows:

PROPOSERS' RFI A.

The following RFI were submitted for clarification. The responses shall be considered as part of the RFP Document.

RFI from Kiewit Infrastructure West Company:

On page TP-15 paragraph 5 of the Technical Provisions, it states that "The costs 1. and impacts of providing archaeological monitoring services or historic preservation monitoring services as required by the archaeological monitoring plan shall be included in the proposal" and "The cost of documenting and treating cultural materials and human remains discovered during construction shall be paid on a force account basis".

However the Proposal Schedule lists Archaeological Monitoring as a Force Account Item and Special Provision Section 691 – Archaeological Monitoring states that "The Engineer will measure archaeological monitoring, including remedial measures, on a force account basis". Please clarify if both archaeological monitoring and remedial measures will be paid under force account.

Response. Yes both archaeological monitoring and remedial measures will be paid under force account.

- 2. An archaeological monitoring approval form on page 691-2a is included in the Special Provisions, which references the Kaumualii Highway Lihue Mill Bridge project. Is this form required to be submitted prior to bid for the Kuhio Highway? Also is an "Intent to Bid" notice required by the proposers?
- Response. No. The archaeological monitoring approval form does not have to be submitted prior to bid. The approval form shall be submitted as part of the 50% design submittal. The Intent to Bid notice is not required. The proposer is required to include on the Subcontractor Proposal Page the name of a qualified person or firm to perform as an Archaeological Monitor.

RFI from Bow Engineering:

- 3. We are in the process of researching a design concept for the Kuhio Highway Improvement project in the vicinity of Kauai Community Correctional Center and Wailua Golf Course. Are there any recent traffic counts for this area available? If so, what is the best way to obtain this data?
- Response. The Highways Kauai district Office will provide you with the most recent traffic counts that it has. contact Stanford Iwamoto at 241-3015 or stanford.m.iwamoto@hawaii.gov
- 4. Also, in terms of water line improvements, what are DOT's requirements for a roadway widening scenario that results in the valve box needing to be lowered in elevation? If the cover is reduced due to a cut condition, will the affected water line section be required to be relocated, or will the valve box simply need to be adjusted.

I assume in a fill condition where the box gets paved over with the widening, the valve box can be adjusted to match finish grade.

Response. It will depend on the amount of cover that is provided, but typically, the valve box is adjusted.

RFI from Earthworks pacific:

- 5. If the widening of the highway has to extend to the makai (golf course) side, what if any are the possible funding opportunities for relocating the power poles & power lines or undergrounding the electrical lines? At this time, KIUC has no provisions or knowledge of this occurring or any funds set aside for this work. Does the State or the County have any funding set aside for this work or is the contractor to bear these costs in their proposal if this work is required for the Intersection Improvements?
- Response. Relocating existing poles and power lines would be done under a Utility Agreement. KIUC and the State would share in the costs. The cost for the proposed work should be accounted for in the proposal. If undergrounding is proposed, the cost of undergrounding should also be included in the proposal.

- 6. How does the proposed "Bike Path" project interface with the proposed Intersection Improvements for this project?
- Response. The design of the intersection improvements shall be coordinated with the County's "Bike Path" project.
- 7. Please clarify the scope of work for the Archaeology. The Technical Provisions under item 5, Archaeological and Historical preservation Services, calls for a Monitoring Plan and field monitoring. However, Addendum #2 states that "...an Archaeological Survey Inventory and Report will be required". Within the State Historic Preservation Division (SHPD), Archaeological Monitoring and Archaeological Inventory Survey are very different tasks. Inventory Survey requires archaeological test excavations of the project area prior to the start of construction and the production of a report that would need to be reviewed and accepted by SHPD. Archaeological Monitoring is done during the construction phase and is based on a SHPD approved Monitoring Plan.
- Response. Both tasks will be required. The Archaeological Inventory Survey will be performed as part of the design. any Archaeological Monitoring that is required will be done as part of the construction phase and will be paid through Force Acount.
- 8. There is a concrete utility box in the ground on the Wailua Golf Course side of the highway approximately 30 ft. south of the WGC driveway with the initials GTS on the metal lids. What / who does GTS stand for incase this utility vault needs to be relocated for the proposed design?
- Response. The Utility Box belongs to Wavecom. GTS stands for Global Telecommunications System which is name of the company before Wavecom.
- 9. The Figure #1 attached to Addendum #2 is intended to call out the project limits on Kuhio Highway, however the drawing is very illegible. Please provide the actual beginning and ending stations of the project limits.

Response. Project limits are from Sta 315+05 to Sta 326+01

10. Due to the Holiday next Monday, the complexity of the design / proposal preparation process and the possibility of archaeological test excavations and the associated reporting if Archaeological Inventory Survey are required for this project, and you extend of the bid date by at least one week beyond June 18th, 2012?

Response. Bid date will not be extended.

11. Will we be required to mitigate the flooding issue that is present on the Correctional facility side of the highway as a part of this project?

Response. No.

12. Will dust monitoring be required on this project?

Response. The Proposer is required to meet all permit and State or County Agency requirements.

13. Will HDOT allow us to leave the existing water mains in place? It appears to be currently located at the edge of the existing pavement and would be in the travel way when the road is widened.

Response. HDOT will not require the waterlines to be relocated solely because the improvements cause the waterlines to be located within the travelway.

14. Should signalization be considered as an option for the intersection improvements?

Response. The intersection currently does not meet the warrants for traffic signalization.

Please acknowledge receipt of this Addendum No. 4 by recording the date of its receipt in the space provided on page P-4 of the Proposal.

ARNN M. OKIMOTO, Ph.D. Director of Transportation